

# What is Sustainable Intensification – does organic farming fit the bill?

26<sup>th</sup> November 2014

Emeritus Professor Allan Buckwell

**Organic Producers Conference, Solihull** 

## Motivation for sustainable intensification

- **Global food security** in context of continuing population and economic growth and harmful climate change
- Much world agriculture is economically weak and environmentally damaging, including EU.
- To avoid unacceptable further destruction of ecosystems the next increment in output must come mostly from existing agricultural land rather than bringing more land into agriculture
- Hence this land use must be **sustainably intensified:** more output from the existing agricultural area, but through improved resource efficiency as well as additional other inputs.





#### **Food security** requires strong action on both:

- A. Consumption challenges: waste, diets, health
  - Policy subjects: food chain, food service, consumers
  - Policy instruments: targets, information, economic, regulation

#### and

- **B. Production** challenges: productivity, water, soil, biodiversity, climate and cultural landscape
  - Subjects: farmers, upstream & downstream industries, researchers/advisers and educators
  - Instruments: agricultural, environmental & research policy

## What role for EU agriculture under Sustainable Intensification?



- Most additional global demand will be outside Europe
- EU agriculture is amongst the most intensive in the world
- EU has a high global footprint as it imports feeds and beverage
- EU (and UK) development since 1960 was based on intensification :
  - agricultural area is *de*creasing
  - forest, wetlands and grassland areas increasing.
- But this has been associated with serious environmental damage

#### Therefore SI in the EU implies

## emphasis on sustainability whilst maintaining agricultural productivity growth

## Definition of Sustainable Intensification of agriculture



- Sustainable intensification is a goal or aspiration requiring more <u>knowledge intensive</u> and integrated land management
- Highly system and place specific



#### **Deconstructing sustainable intensification:**



- Intensity is always a ratio. For SI, land is the denominator
- It is well defined & measurable but popularly denigrated!
  - Inputs intensity: input per hectare
    - Inputs with damaging external effects vs the rest
  - Outputs intensity: output per hectare -
    - Simple single crop and animal yields, tonnes per hectare, Outputs of environmental services/ ha, e.g. lapwings / ha
    - Compound, indices e.g. total factor productivity
- The goal is higher productivity/ resource efficiency
- Knowledge per hectare is key embodied in capital and labour
- Task is to detoxify the word intensive

#### **Deconstructing sustainable intensification:**



- **Sustainable**: not well defined or measured but universally loved!
- Brundtland (1987) "meeting the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs"
- An unsustainable system undermines its own indefinite continuation
- Usual to stress 3 dimensions: economic, environmental and social; is one of these pre-eminent?
- Each in turn is multi-dimensional
- Sustainability is always multi-factorial & location specific
- Are trade-offs acceptable between elements of sustainability? Yes – weak S; No – strong S.



### Sustainability or environmental performance?

- Can we identify tipping points, thresholds, limits and irreversibilities?
- If there are detectable limits for one (or more) aspects; then
  - It is **vital** for land managers and policy to identify them
  - There can be **no trade-offs** with other aspects
  - It will be **factor specific** (soil, water, temperature, salinity, pollination)
  - Average and composite indices are little use
- If not then 'sustainability' is essentially desired 'economic, environmental and social performance', targets set within limits

   important because performance is below legislative standards



### Agricultural intensity & sustainability literature

- **49 papers reviewed**: 119 indicators of intensity, 500 indicators of sustainability (95 econ, 198 enviro, 202 social)
- Intensity: the most freq indicator is ferts per Ha, used by 5 studies,
- Sustainability: Most freq in 9 is soil erosion,
- Increasing sophistication in constructing composite or overall index of sustainability : with what results?
- There is **no convergence** on how to measure these things: **little attempt to identify thresholds**. No consistent referencing 'official' indicator sets (e.g. EEA)



## Collective actions to steer EU agriculture to paths of Sustainable Intensification

- Assembling indicators of environmental performance:
   farm level
  - national and international comparators
- appropriate **policies**:
  - R&D, education, advisory services
  - Environmental policy
  - Agriculture the CAP and its development
    - Incentives eg Greening payment, Agrienvironment
    - Disincentives XC conditions and enforcement

## Individual actions to steer EU agriculture towards paths of Sustainable Intensification



- Adopt sustainable farming system, eg: Agro-ecology
   Biodynamic farming
   Organic farming
   Precision farming
- Adopt sustainable farming **practices**: pp49-50
- Work collaboratively on environmental delivery
- Engage in upgraded private certification schemes: p53
- Embody environmental value in products and services
   Organic label
   GIs, PDOs
   Leaf Marque
   Commercial examples



### Sustainability metrics at the farm level - 1



- Huge resources are devoted to collection of farm-level productivity and economic performance data, and compilation of benchmarks – high variability observed.
- There are intrinsic, economic, mechanisms to ensure such information guides farm management
- Few resources are devoted to collecting farm-level environmental performance, & establishing benchmarks
- Equal variability likely and there are weaker grounds to suppose such data would be acted upon spontaneously unless credible evidence shows proximity to a threshold.

### Variability in farm environmental performance

- Wide variability in biodiversity vs crop intensity
- Implies large scope to improve environmental performance at each level of productivity if each farm could approach the frontier F-F'



From Data on Germany from Geiger et al (2010)

### Sustainability metrics at the farm level - 2



- The **spatial level** to assemble sustainability or environmental performance indicators? Farm, region, river basin, farm type?
- The role of **private standards**:
  - organic, integrated farming, conservation agriculture
  - Commercial actions: assurance, retailer standards, input supplier sustainability schemes. What monitoring in these schemes?
- Which metrics are in place/could be in place? Soil organic matter, GHG emissions, farmland bird, butterfly, worm counts.
- Which are likely to be instinctively on a farmer's radar e.g. water shortage, erosion threat? Is self interest sufficient?
- Are there **'big data'** opportunities for collecting, collating and processing: weather, soil data, yield mapping, disease vector spotting?



### The key challenge



Farmers do not believe what they are doing is unsustainable

(even if they admit it falls below legislative standards)

*If it is unsustainable* then it is very important to show the evidence of this to motivate changes in practice.

The research priority is therefore to identify proximity to environmental limits – what and where, and the actions to stay within them.



## Is sustainable Intensification a good phrase to try to show the required behaviour change?

- It is the most recent of a series of phrases to try and get farmers to see their joint food + environmental management role:
  - The organic movement
  - Integrating environment and farming (e.g. LEAF)
  - Multifunctional agriculture
  - Public goods from private land
  - CAP greening
- Is there a progression going on here, or are we treading water?

### Does organic farming fit the bill?



- Of course it has a role to play
- No one thinks <u>all</u> food production should be organic do they?
- Conversion to organic which may or may not involve some output sacrifice but offers an increase in other ecosystem services can be optimal for society.
- ie it's a route to supplying other eco-system services
- A problem is the apparently limited market growth when consumers have a choice to pay more and contribute to the environmental services.
- Another problem is the high degree of dependence on public payments – in the age of austerity. (the domination of livestock issue)

#### Sustainable intensification development paths, examples

- **A** Highly virtuous
- **B** Agriculturally beneficial
- Environmentally beneficial С
- Trade-off for food D
- Trade-off for environment Ε (e.g. organic conversion)



The food - environment production possibilities frontier (a-b-c-d-e-f)

### Six conclusions



- 1. Sustainable Intensification *is* a useful, globally-based, concept for better balance between food production & environment. **It means**
- maintaining agricultural productivity growth + step change in environmental performance. The precise prescription depends on location and farming system
- more effort required to identify and communicate existence, nature & location of approaching environmental thresholds
- 4. more effort to measure **farm level environmental indicators**
- 5. Better enforcement of environmental regulation + improved CAP delivery + greater use of farming/agribusiness sustainability schemes
- 6. As a well-established farming system organic farming has a role to play in this

